

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/715,628	11/17/2003	Garo J. Derderian	MI22-2427	4321	
21567	7590 01/31/2006		EXAMINER		
	r. JOHN P.S.	ZERVIGON, RUDY			
601 W. FIRST AVENUE, SUITE 1300 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J. J			1763		
			DATE MAILED: 01/31/200	DATE MAILED: 01/31/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				V			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/715,628	DERDERIAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	<u></u>	Rudy Zervigon	1763				
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -				
WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on so of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠ F	desponsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No	ovember 2005.					
2a)⊠ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)□ S	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
С	losed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition	n of Claims						
4)⊠ C	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
48	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.						
=	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
	claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)∐ C	claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application	n Papers						
9)□ TI	ne specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	pplicant may not request that any objection to the	• • •	, ,				
	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · ·					
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
1	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2	. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
3	. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* Se	e the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmant/-	A						
Attachment(s	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date 11/17/2005.	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/715,628

Art Unit: 1763

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "first volume", "second volume", and "dispersion head" must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 1763

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-3, 5-11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Gadgil; Prasad N. (US 5284519 A) in view of (if necessary) Lee; Chung J. et al. (US 6,086,679 A). Gadgil teaches a deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) comprising: a deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) having an inlet port (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15); a first reservoir (44; Figure 2; column 5; lines 1-15) configured for containment of a first metastable specie, the first reservoir (44; Figure 2; column 5; lines 1-15) comprising an outlet port (top of 38; Figure 2; column 5; lines 1-15) in selective fluid communication with the inlet port (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15) of the deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) – claim 1. Applicant's claim 1 requirement of "a metastable-specie generating catalyst within the first reservoir", as claimed by claim 1 is a claim requirement of intended use. Further, it has been held that claim language that simply specifies an intended use or field of use

for the invention generally will not limit the scope of a claim (Walter, 618 F.2d at 769, 205 USPQ at 409; MPEP 2106). Additionally, in apparatus claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim (In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963); MPEP2111.02).

Application/Control Number: 10/715,628

Art Unit: 1763

Gadgil further teaches the deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 1 further comprising: a substrate platform (16; Figure 1); and a dispersion head (12; Figure 1) between the inlet port (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15) and the substrate platform (16; Figure 1), as claimed by claim 6

### Gadgil further teaches:

- i. Gadgil's deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 1 further comprising: a second reservoir (40; Figure 2; column 3, lines 30-60) configured for containment of a second metastable specie, the second reservoir (40; Figure 2; column 3, lines 30-60) comprising a second reservoir (40; Figure 2; column 3, lines 30-60) outlet port (34; Figure 2) in selective fluid communication with Gadgil's deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60), as claimed by claim 7
- ii. Gadgil's deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 7 wherein Gadgil's inlet port (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15) of Gadgil's deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) is a first inlet port (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15), Gadgil's deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) further comprising a second inlet port (32), wherein the outlet port (top of 38; Figure 2; column 5; lines 1-15) of the second reservoir (40; Figure 2; column 3, lines 30-60) is in selective fluid communication with Gadgil's deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) through the second inlet port, as claimed by claim 8
- iii. Gadgil's deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 7 wherein Gadgil's metastable-specie generating catalyst is a first metastable-specie generating

Art Unit: 1763

catalyst, and further comprising a second metastable-specie generating catalyst within the second reservoir (40; Figure 2; column 3, lines 30-60), as claimed by claim 9

An atomic layer deposition apparatus comprising: a deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; iv. column 3, lines 30-60) having a first inlet (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15), a second inlet (32), a dispersion head (32; Figure 2), and a substrate platform (16; Figure 2); Gadgil's dispersion head (32; Figure 2) being positioned between Gadgil's first inlet (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15) and Gadgil's substrate platform (16; Figure 2) and between the second inlet (32) and Gadgil's substrate platform (16; Figure 2); a first activated specie containment reservoir (40; Figure 2) in fluid communication with Gadgil's deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) through Gadgil's first inlet (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15); a second activated specie containment reservoir (44; Figure 2) in fluid communication with Gadgil's deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) through the second inlet (32); and one or more carrier gas sources configured to deliver carrier gas through at least one of Gadgil's first inlet (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15) and the second inlet (32), as claimed by claim 17. Applicant's claim requirement of "An atomic layer deposition apparatus" is a claim requirement of intended use. Additionally, when the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent (In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1255, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977); MPEP 2112.01). Further, it has been held that claim language that simply specifies an intended use or field of use for the invention generally will not limit the scope of a claim (Walter, 618 F.2d at 769, 205 USPQ at 409; MPEP 2106).

Art Unit: 1763

Additionally, in apparatus claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim (In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963); MPEP2111.02).

### Gadgil does not teach:

- i. The deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 1 wherein the catalyst comprises Pt, as claimed by claim 2
- ii. The deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 1 wherein the catalyst comprises Zn, as claimed by claim 3
- iii. The deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 1 further comprising a carrier gas source in selective fluid communication with the deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) through the inlet port (bottom of 38; Figure 2; column 4, lines 1-15), as claimed by claim 5. Applicant's claim requirement of a gas identity being a "carrier gas" is a claim requirement of intended use. Further, it has been held that claim language that simply specifies an intended use or field of use for the invention generally will not limit the scope of a claim (Walter, 618 F.2d at 769, 205 USPQ at 409; MPEP 2106). Additionally, in apparatus claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim (In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963); MPEP2111.02).

Application/Control Number: 10/715,628

Art Unit: 1763

iv. Gadgil's deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 7 further comprising a carrier gas source in selective fluid communication with Gadgil's deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) through the second inlet port, as claimed by claim 10 – However, applicant's claim requirements of gas identity as "carrier gas" does not further limit applicant's pending apparatus claims. Intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim (In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPO 458, 459 (CCPA 1963); MPEP2111.02).

Page 7

v. Gadgil's deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 7 further comprising: the remote metastable specie source, wherein the second reservoir (40; Figure 2; column 3, lines 30-60) comprises an inlet port (34; Figure 2) in fluid communication with a remote metastable specie source, as claimed by claim 11

#### Lee teaches:

- The deposition system (Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50) of claim 1 wherein the catalyst
   (528; Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50) comprises Pt (column 19; lines 33-41), as
   claimed by claim 2
- ii. The deposition system (Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50) of claim 1 wherein the catalyst (528; Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50) comprises Zn (column 19; lines 33-41), as claimed by claim 3
- iii. The deposition system (Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50) of claim 1 further comprising a carrier gas source in selective fluid communication with the deposition chamber (520;

Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50) through the inlet port (516; Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50), as claimed by claim 5. Applicant's claim requirement of a gas identity being a "carrier gas" is a claim requirement of intended use. Further, it has been held that claim language that simply specifies an intended use or field of use for the invention generally will not limit the scope of a claim (Walter, 618 F.2d at 769, 205 USPQ at 409; MPEP 2106). Additionally, in apparatus claims, intended use must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim (In re Casey,152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967); In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963); MPEP2111.02).

In the event that Gadgil's apparatus is not deemed to anticipate the pending apparatus claims of the present application, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lee's precursor materials to Gadgil's reservoirs.

Motivation to add Lee's precursor materials to Gadgil's reservoirs is for creating polymer thin films as taught by Lee (column 1; lines 25-33).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 4, and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gadgil; Prasad N. (US 5284519 A) in view of (if necessary) Lee; Chung J. et al. (US 6,086,679 A). Gadgil is dicussed above.

Art Unit: 1763

## Gadgil does not teach:

i. The deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 1 further comprising a heat source configured to heat the catalyst, as claimed by claim 4

- ii. Gadgil's deposition system (Figure 1,2,18; column 3, lines 30-60) of claim 11 wherein Gadgil's remote metastable specie source comprises a metastable specie generator comprising one or more of a plasma sorce, a catalyst, a heater, an electron gun, a UV light source and a microwave source, as claimed by claim 12
- iii. A deposition apparatus comprising: a deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) having a first volume (2; Figure 1); at least one containment reservoir (44, 40; Figure 2) fluidly connected to Gadgil's deposition chamber (2; Figure 18; column 3, lines 30-60) and having a second volume (34; Figure 2), Gadgil's second volume (34; Figure 2) at least about 1% of Gadgil's first volume (2; Figure 1); a remote metastable specie source in fluid communication with at least one of the containment reservoirs (44, 40; Figure 2), as claimed by claim 13
- iv. The apparatus of claim 13 wherein the second volume (34; Figure 2) is greater than or equal to about 10% of Gadgil's first volume (2; Figure 1), as claimed by claim 14
- v. The apparatus of claim 13 wherein Gadgil's second volume (34; Figure 2) is greater than or equal to about 50% of Gadgil's first volume (2; Figure 1), as claimed by claim 15
- vi. The apparatus of claim 13 wherein Gadgil's second volume (34; Figure 2) is equal to or greater than Gadgil's first volume (2; Figure 1), as claimed by claim 16

Lee teaches the deposition system (Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50) of claim 1 further comprising a heat source (532; Figure 5) configured to heat the catalyst (528; Figure 5; column 14; lines 24-50), as claimed by claim 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add Lee's heat source and to reproduce Gadgil's reservoirs and inlet ports under optimial relative dimensions.

Motivation to add Lee's heat source and to reproduce Gadgil's reservoirs and inlet ports under optimial relative dimensions is for for creating polymer thin films as taught by Lee (column 1; lines 25-33) and increasing processing speed and throughput, respectively. Further it is well established that changes in apparatus dimensions are within the level of ordinary skill in the art.(Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied , 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984); In re Rose , 220 F.2d 459, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955); In re Rinehart, 531 F.2d 1048, 189 USPQ 143 (CCPA 1976); See MPEP 2144.04)

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1763

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Rudy Zervigon whose telephone number is (571) 272.1442. The examiner can normally be reached on a Monday through Thursday schedule from 8am through 7pm. The official fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (703) 872-9306. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Chemical and Materials Engineering art unit receptionist at (571) 272-1700. If the examiner can not be reached please contact the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh, at (571) 272-1435.